



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/353,508	12/09/94	ZAPOL	W 00786048005

PAUL T CLARK  
FISH & RICHARDSON  
225 FRANKLIN STREET  
BOSTON MA 02110-2804

33M1/0628

LEWIS, A EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3312	8

DATE MAILED:

06/28/96

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Janis K. Fraser (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Aaron J. Lewis (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 6/27/96

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 16→21, 31→36, 38, 44→58, 69→134

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Fraser argued persuasively that public safety is not a proper basis for the rejection of the claims of the instant application under 35 USC 101. Ex. Lewis indicated that while such arguments appear persuasive, the issue of safety as it pertains to the claims of the instant application may be raised on other statutory grounds such as 112 second paragraph.  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Aaron J. Lewis  
Examiner's Signature